arXiv:hep-th/9903158v1 18 Mar 1999

Symplectic reduction of p-form electrodynamics

Dariusz Chruściński*
Institute of Physics, Nicholas Copernicus University
ul. Grudziądzka 5/7, 87-100 Toruń, Poland

Abstract

We propose a simple method to reduce a general p-form electrodynamics with respect to the standard Gauss constraints. The canonical structure of the reduced theory displays a p-dependent sign which makes the essential difference between theories with different parities of p. This feature was observed recently in the corresponding quantization condition for p-brane dyons. It suggests that these two structures are closely related.

NCU MATHP–99/1

---

*E-mail: darch@phys.uni.torun.pl

1 Introduction

The p-form electrodynamics is a simple generalization of an ordinary electrodynamics in 4-dimensional Minkowski space-time $\mathcal{M}^4$ where the electromagnetic field potential 1-form A_μ is replaced by a p-form in D-dimensional space-time [1], [2]. The motivation to study such type of theories comes e.g. from the string theory where one considers higher dimensional objects (so called p-branes [3]) interacting with a gauge field. p-branes are natural objects which couple in a gauge invariant way to a p-form gauge potential and, therefore, they play a role of elementary extended sources.

Recently the new input to study a p-form theory came from the electric-magnetic duality which started to play a prominent role in theoretical physics in the 90. (see e.g. [4]). Now, in order to have p-branes which carry both electric and magnetic charges (i.e. p-brane dyons) the dimension of space-time has to be equal $D = 2p + 2$. It turns out [5], [6] that there is a crucial difference between p-form theories with different parity of p. Moreover, quantum mechanics implies the following quantization condition upon the electric and magnetic charges (e_1, g_1) and (e_2, g_2) of any two dyons:

$$e_1 g_2 + (-1)^p e_2 g_1 = nh \ , \tag{1.1}$$

with an integer n. For odd p the above condition is a generalization of the famous Dirac condition [7] but for even p it was observed only recently [8]–[11]. Again, a parity of p plays a crucial role in (1.1).

The aim of the present paper is to show that this p-dependence is already present on the level of a canonical structure of the underlying p-form theory. This feature is however hidden in a standard formulation. Therefore, we propose a different approach. Because a theory possesses a rich abelian gauge symmetry we propose to reduce it with respect to the corresponding Gauss constraints. Such a reduction was proposed in the case of ordinary electrodynamics (and linearized gravity) in [12] (it was applied to the variational formulation of the electrodynamics of point-like charges in [13] and [14]). The method of this paper is a straightforward generalization of [12] to a p-form case. We show that the reduced canonical structure (see formula (4.28)) displays a p-dependent sign in a manner very similar to (1.1) which shows that duality invariance, quantization condition and canonical structure are closely related. We postpone the study of this relation to the next paper. It would be also interesting to investigate relation between our approach and the standard two potential formulation of a p-form theory (see e.g. [5] and [15]).

The present paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we introduce basic facts about p-form electrodynamics and present its (unreduced) canonical structure in section 3. Then in section 4 we describe a reduction procedure. As an example of a reduced p-form theory we present a Maxwell-type theory in section 5 . All technical details and proofs are contained in appendixes.

2 p-form electrodynamics

Consider a p-form potential A defined in the $D = 2p + 2$ dimensional Minkowski space-time $\mathcal{M}^{2p+2}$ with the signature of the metric tensor $(-, +, ..., +)$. The corresponding field tensor is defined as a $(p+1)$-form by $F = dA$:

$$F_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{p+1}} = \partial_{[\mu_1} A_{\mu_2 \ldots \mu_{p+1}]} \ , \tag{2.1}$$

where the antisymmetrization is taken with a weight one, i.e. $X_{[kl]} := X_{kl} - X_{lk}$. Having a Lagrangian L of the theory one defines another $(p+1)$-form G as follows:

$$G^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{p+1}} = -(p+1)! \frac{\partial L}{\partial F_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{p+1}}} \ . \tag{2.2}$$

Now one may define the electric and magnetic intensities and inductions in the obvious way:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{i_1 \ldots i_p} &= F_{i_1 \ldots i_p 0} \ , & (2.3)\\
B_{i_1 \ldots i_p} &= \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \, \epsilon_{i_1 \ldots i_p j_1 \ldots j_{p+1}} F^{j_1 \ldots j_{p+1}} \ , & (2.4)\\
D_{i_1 \ldots i_p} &= G_{i_1 \ldots i_p 0} \ , & (2.5)\\
H_{i_1 \ldots i_p} &= \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \, \epsilon_{i_1 \ldots i_p j_1 \ldots j_{p+1}} G^{j_1 \ldots j_{p+1}} \ , & (2.6)
\end{aligned}$$

where the indices $i_1, i_2, ..., j_1, j_2, ...$ run from 1 up to $2p+1$ and $\epsilon_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_{2p+1}}$ is the Lévi-Civita tensor in $2p+1$ dimensional Euclidean space, i.e. a space-like hyperplane Σ in the Minkowski space-time. The field equations are given by the Bianchi identities $dF = 0$, or in components

$$\partial_{[\lambda} F_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{p+1}]} = 0 \ , \tag{2.7}$$

and the *true* dynamical equations $d * G = 0$, or equivalently

$$\partial_{[\lambda} * G_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{p+1}]} = 0 \ , \tag{2.8}$$

where the Hodge star operation in $\mathcal{M}^{2p+2}$ is defined by:

$$* \, G^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{p+1}} = \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \, \eta^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{p+1} \nu_1 \ldots \nu_{p+1}} \, G_{\nu_1 \ldots \nu_{p+1}} \tag{2.9}$$

and $\eta^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \ldots \mu_{2p+2}}$ is the covariantly constant volume form in the Minkowski space-time. Note, that $\epsilon^{i_1 \ldots i_{2p+1}} := \eta^{0 i_1 \ldots i_{2p+1}}$. In terms of electric and magnetic fields defined in (2.3)–(2.6) the field equations (2.7)–(2.8) have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_0 B^{i_1 \ldots i_p} &= (-1)^p \frac{1}{p!} \, \epsilon^{i_1 \ldots i_p k j_1 \ldots j_p} \, \nabla_k E_{j_1 \ldots j_p} \ , & (2.10)\\
\nabla_{i_1} B^{i_1 \ldots i_p} &= 0 \ , & (2.11)\\
\partial_0 D^{i_1 \ldots i_p} &= \frac{1}{p!} \, \epsilon^{i_1 \ldots i_p k j_1 \ldots j_p} \, \nabla_k H_{j_1 \ldots j_p} \ , & (2.12)\\
\nabla_{i_1} D^{i_1 \ldots i_p} &= 0 \ , & (2.13)
\end{aligned}$$

where ∇_k denotes the covariant derivative on Σ compatible with the metric η_{kl} induced from $\mathcal{M}^{2p+2}$. The Lévi-Civita tensor density satisfies $\epsilon_{12 \ldots 2p+1} = \sqrt{g}$, with $g = \det(\eta_{kl})$.

The field equations (2.10)–(2.13) may be rewritten using the language of differentials forms. Obviously D, B, E, H are p-forms on Σ. Let us define $(p+1)$-forms:

$$\mathcal{D} := *_\Sigma D \ , \qquad \mathcal{B} := *_\Sigma B \ , \tag{2.14}$$

where "$*_\Sigma$" denotes the Hodge star on Σ: for any k-form

$$(*_\Sigma X)_{i_1\dots i_{n-k}} := \frac{1}{(n-k)!}\,\epsilon_{i_1\dots i_{n-k}j_1\dots j_k}\,X^{j_1\dots j_k}\ , \tag{2.15}$$

with $n = 2p+1$. With this notation one has:

$$\dot{\mathcal{B}} = (-1)^p\, dE\ , \qquad d\mathcal{B} = 0\ , \tag{2.16}$$

$$\dot{\mathcal{D}} = dH\ , \qquad d\mathcal{D} = 0\ . \tag{2.17}$$

3 Canonical structure

The phase space $\mathcal{P}$ of gauge invariant configurations $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B})$ or equivalently (D, B) is endowed with the canonical structure. Using local coordinates $(D^{i_1\dots i_p}, B^{j_1\dots j_p})$ the most general 2-form on $\mathcal{P}$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_p &= \int d^{2p+1}x \int d^{2p+1}y\, \Big[X_{i_1\dots i_p j_1\dots j_p}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\delta D^{i_1\dots i_p}(\mathbf{x}) \wedge \delta B^{j_1\dots j_p}(\mathbf{y}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}Y_{i_1\dots i_p j_1\dots j_p}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\delta D^{i_1\dots i_p}(\mathbf{x}) \wedge \delta D^{j_1\dots j_p}(\mathbf{y}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}Z_{i_1\dots i_p j_1\dots j_p}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\delta B^{i_1\dots i_p}(\mathbf{x}) \wedge \delta B^{j_1\dots j_p}(\mathbf{y})\Big]\ .\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Taking into account the field equations (2.10) and (2.12) the hamiltonian vector field X_{H_p}

$$X_{H_p} \rfloor \Omega_p = -\delta H_p \tag{3.2}$$

is described by

$$X_{H_p} = \int d^{2p+1}x\ \epsilon_{i_1\dots i_p k j_1\dots j_p}\left(\nabla^k H^{j_1\dots j_p}\frac{\delta}{\delta D_{i_1\dots i_p}} + (-1)^p \nabla^k E^{j_1\dots j_p}\frac{\delta}{\delta B_{i_1\dots i_p}}\right)\ . \tag{3.3}$$

Therefore, the formula (3.2) is solved by H_p and Ω_p defined as follows:

$$H_p = \frac{1}{2p!}\int d^{2p+1}x (D^{i_1\dots i_p}E_{i_1\dots i_p} + B^{i_1\dots i_p}H_{i_1\dots i_p})\ , \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\Omega_p = \int d^{2p+1}x \int d^{2p+1}y\ \delta D^{i_1\dots i_p}(\mathbf{x}) \wedge \delta B^{j_1\dots j_p}(\mathbf{y})\epsilon_{i_1\dots i_p k j_1\dots j_p}\nabla^k\ G(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\ , \tag{3.5}$$

where $G(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ denotes the Coulomb Green function in $2p+1$ dimensional Euclidean space, i.e.

$$\triangle\ G(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = -\delta^{(2p+1)}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\ . \tag{3.6}$$

The formula (3.5) uses only gauge-invariant quantities. It may be, however, considerably simplified by introducing a gauge potential. One solves (2.11) *via*:

$$B^{i_1\dots i_p} = \epsilon^{i_1\dots i_p j_1\dots j_{p+1}}\,\nabla_{j_1}A_{j_2\dots j_{p+1}}\ , \tag{3.7}$$

which is the obvious generalization of $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ from $p = 1$ electrodynamics. Now, modulo gauge-dependent boundary term, the symplectic form reads:

$$\Omega_p = (-1)^p \int d^{2p+1}x \ \delta D^{i_1 \ldots i_p} \wedge \delta A_{i_1 \ldots i_p} = (-1)^{p+1} \int d^{2p+1}x \ \delta G^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_p 0} \wedge \delta A_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_p} \ . \tag{3.8}$$

Having a symplectic structure in $\mathcal{P}$ one may easily derive corresponding Poisson brackets:

$$\{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}\}_p = \int d^{2p+1}x \left[\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta D^{i_1 \ldots i_p}} \ \epsilon^{i_1 \ldots i_p k j_1 \ldots j_p} \ \nabla_k \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta B^{j_1 \ldots j_p}} - (\mathcal{F} \rightleftharpoons \mathcal{G}) \right] \ , \tag{3.9}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are functionals on $\mathcal{P}$. Note, that $D^{i_1 \ldots i_p}$ and $B^{i_1 \ldots i_p}$ are not arbitrary but they have to satisfy the Gauss laws (2.11) and (2.13). The fundamental commutation relations implied by (3.9) read:

$$\{D^{i_1 \ldots i_p}(\mathbf{x}), B^{j_1 \ldots j_p}(\mathbf{y})\}_p = \epsilon^{i_1 \ldots i_p k j_1 \ldots j_k} \nabla_k \delta^{(2p+1)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \ , \tag{3.10}$$

$$\{D^{i_1 \ldots i_p}(\mathbf{x}), D^{j_1 \ldots j_p}(\mathbf{y})\}_p = \{B^{i_1 \ldots i_p}(\mathbf{x}), B^{j_1 \ldots j_p}(\mathbf{y})\}_p = 0 \ , \tag{3.11}$$

with $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Sigma$.

4 Reduction

The idea of this section is to find a new set of electromagnetical variables using a philosophy of "$2p+1$" decomposition (it is a straightforward generalization of [12]). These new variables solve partially the Gauss constraints (2.11) and (2.13). Moreover, they are canonical with respect to Ω_p.

4.1 "2p+1" decomposition

The "2p+1" decomposition is based on the observation that the $2p+1$ dimensional Euclidean space Σ may be decomposed as follows:

$$\Sigma = S^{2p}(1) \times \mathbf{R} \ , \tag{4.1}$$

where $S^{2p}(1)$ denotes $2p$ dimensional unit sphere and $\mathbf{R}$ represents "radial" direction. Let us introduce spherical coordinates on Σ:

$$x^A = \varphi_A \ , \qquad A = 1, 2, \ldots, 2p \ , \tag{4.2}$$

$$x^{2p+1} = r \ , \tag{4.3}$$

where $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_{2p}$ denote spherical angles (to enumerate angles we shall use capital letters $A, B, C, \ldots$) (for more details see Appendix A). Let η_{kl} denote the Minkowskian metric on Σ and let λ_p denote the corresponding volume element, i.e. $\lambda_p = \sqrt{\det \ \eta_{kl}}$ (see (A.3)). Finally, let $\epsilon_{A_1 \ldots A_{2p}}$ denote the Lévi-Civita tensor on $S^{2p}(r)$ such that $\epsilon_{A_1 \ldots A_{2p}} := \epsilon_{r A_1 \ldots A_{2p}}$ (we use the same letter to denote the Lévi-Civita tensors on Σ and $S^{2p}(r)$). We shall denote by "$|$" the covariant derivative defined by η_{kl} on Σ and by "$||$" the covariant derivative on each $S^{2p}(r)$ defined by the induced metric η_{AB}.

4.2 Symplectic reduction

Let us consider the evolution of the p-form electromagnetic field in the finite volume $V \in \Sigma$. For simplicity we take $V = K^{2p+1}(0,R)$ (a $(2p+1)$ dimensional ball of radius R). Of course one may take any V topologically equivalent to $K^{2p+1}(0,R)$ but then instead of spherical coordinates one chooses coordinates adapted to V.

To reduce Ω_p in V we use the "2p+1" decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{p+1}\Omega_p &= \int_V \lambda_p \, \delta G^{i_1\ldots i_p 0} \wedge \delta A_{i_1\ldots i_p} = - \int_V \lambda_p \, \delta D^{i_1\ldots i_p} \wedge \delta A_{i_1\ldots i_p} \\ &= - \int_V \lambda_p \, \Big(p \, \delta D^{r i_2\ldots i_p} \wedge \delta A_{r i_2 \ldots i_p} + \delta D^{A_1\ldots A_p} \wedge \delta A_{A_1\ldots A_p} \Big) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Using (3.7) one obtains

$$B^{A_1\ldots A_p} = \epsilon^{A_1\ldots A_p r B_1 \ldots B_p} \, \partial_r A_{B_1\ldots B_p} + p \, \epsilon^{A_1\ldots A_p B_1 r B_2 \ldots B_p} \, A_{r B_2 \ldots B_p || B_1} \tag{4.5}$$

and hence

$$\epsilon_{A_1\ldots A_p B_1 \ldots B_p} \, B^{A_1\ldots A_p || B_1} = p! \, \delta^{C_1\ldots C_p}_{[B_1\ldots B_p]} \, (-1)^p \Big[\partial_r A_{C_1\ldots C_p} - p \, A_{r C_2 \ldots C_p || C_1} \Big]^{|| B_1} \ , \tag{4.6}$$

where we have used the following identity

$$\epsilon^{i_1\ldots i_N j_1 \ldots j_M} \epsilon_{i_1\ldots i_N l_1\ldots l_M} = N! \, \delta^{j_1\ldots j_M}_{[l_1 \ldots l_M]} := N! \, \delta^{j_1}_{[l_1} \ldots \delta^{j_M}_{l_M]} \tag{4.7}$$

with arbitrary N and M.

Now, to simplify our consideration, let us choose the following gauge conditions for a p-form $A^{A_1\ldots A_p}$ and $(p-1)$-form $A^{rA_2\ldots A_p}$ on each sphere $S^{2p}(r)$:

$$A^{A_1\ldots A_p}{}_{||A_1} = 0 \ , \qquad A^{rA_2\ldots A_p}{}_{||A_2} = 0 \ . \tag{4.8}$$

In such a gauge

$$\epsilon^{A_1\ldots A_p B_1\ldots B_p} \, B_{A_1\ldots A_p || B_1} = (-1)^{p+1} p \, p! \; r^{-2} \, \triangle_{p-1} \, A^{rB_2\ldots B_p} \ , \tag{4.9}$$

where $\triangle_{p-1}$ is defined by:

$$\triangle_{p-1} := (p-1)! \Big[r^2 \nabla^A \nabla_A - (p^2-1) \Big] \ . \tag{4.10}$$

This operator has a clear geometrical interpretation.

Lemma 1 *$r^{-2}\triangle_{p-1}$ equals to the Laplace-Beltrami operator for $(p-1)$-forms $X = (X^{A_2\ldots A_p})$ on $S^{2p}(r)$ satisfying $X^{A_2\ldots A_p}{}_{||A_2} = 0$, i.e.*

$$r^{-2}\triangle_{p-1} X = (d^*d + dd^*)X = d^*dX \ . \tag{4.11}$$

For proof see Appendix B. The factor r^2 in (4.10) makes $\triangle_{p-1}$ r-independent, i.e.

$$[\triangle_{p-1}, \partial_r] = 0 \ . \tag{4.12}$$

Lemma 2 *The operator $\triangle_{p-1}$ is invertible.*

For proof see Appendix B. Denoting by $\triangle_{p-1}^{-1}$ the inverse of $\triangle_{p-1}$ we finally obtain:

$$A^{rB_2\ldots B_p} = (-1)^{p+1}\frac{r^2}{p\,p!}\triangle_{p-1}^{-1}\left(\epsilon^{A_1\ldots A_pB_1\ldots B_p}\ B_{A_1\ldots A_p||B_1}\right) \ . \tag{4.13}$$

Introducing variables:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{(1)}^{A_2\ldots A_p} &:= r\,D^{rA_2\ldots A_p} \ , & (4.14)\\ \Pi^{(1)}_{B_2\ldots B_p} &:= \frac{r}{p!}\triangle_{p-1}^{-1}\left(\epsilon_{A_1\ldots A_pB_1\ldots B_p}\ B^{A_1\ldots A_p||B_1}\right) & (4.15)\end{aligned}$$

we may rewrite the first integral in (4.4) as

$$-\int_V \lambda_p\, p\,\delta D^{ri_2\ldots i_p}\wedge\delta A_{ri_2\ldots i_p} = (-1)^{p+1}\int_V \lambda_p\ \delta\Pi^{(1)}_{A_2\ldots A_p}\wedge\delta Q_{(1)}^{A_2\ldots A_p} \ . \tag{4.16}$$

To reduce the second term in (4.4) observe that in the special gauge (4.8), $A_{A_1\ldots A_p}$ may be expressed as follows:

$$A_{A_1\ldots A_p} = \epsilon_{A_1\ldots A_pB_1\ldots B_p}\ \beta^{B_2\ldots B_p||B_1} \ . \tag{4.17}$$

Now, from (3.7)

$$B^{rB_2\ldots B_p} = \epsilon^{B_2\ldots B_pC_1\ldots C_{p+1}}\ A_{C_2\ldots C_{p+1}||C_1} \ . \tag{4.18}$$

Inserting (4.17) into (4.18) and using once more the gauge conditions (4.8) we obtain

$$B^{rB_2\ldots B_p} = -p!\,r^{-2}\ \triangle_{p-1}\beta^{B_2\ldots B_p} \ , \tag{4.19}$$

and, therefore

$$\beta^{B_2\ldots B_p} = -\frac{r^2}{p!}\ \triangle_{p-1}^{-1}B^{rB_2\ldots B_p} \ , \tag{4.20}$$

which, together with (4.17), gives

$$A_{A_1\ldots A_p} = -\frac{r^2}{p!}\ \epsilon_{A_1\ldots A_pB_1\ldots B_p}\ \left(\triangle_{p-1}^{-1}B^{rB_2\ldots B_p}\right)^{||B_1} \ . \tag{4.21}$$

Having $A_{A_1\ldots A_p}$ we may calculate the second term in (4.4):

$$\begin{aligned} &-\int_V \lambda_p\ \delta D^{A_1\ldots A_p}\wedge\delta A_{A_1\ldots A_p}\\ &\quad = -\int_V \lambda_p\frac{r}{p!}\left(\epsilon_{A_1\ldots A_pB_1\ldots B_p}\ \delta D^{A_1\ldots A_p}\right)^{||B_1}\wedge\ r\,\triangle_{p-1}^{-1}\delta B^{rB_2\ldots B_p} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Defining:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{(2)}^{A_2\ldots A_p} &:= r\,B^{rA_2\ldots A_p} \ , & (4.23)\\ \Pi^{(2)}_{B_2\ldots B_p} &:= -\frac{r}{p!}\triangle_{p-1}^{-1}\left(\epsilon_{A_1\ldots A_pB_1\ldots B_p}\ D^{A_1\ldots A_p||B_1}\right) & (4.24)\end{aligned}$$

we may rewrite (4.22) as

$$-\int_V \lambda_p\ \delta D^{A_1\ldots A_p}\wedge\delta A_{A_1\ldots A_p} = \int_V \lambda_p\ \delta\Pi^{(2)}_{A_2\ldots A_p}\wedge\delta Q_{(2)}^{A_2\ldots A_p} \ . \tag{4.25}$$

Now, taking into account (4.16) and (4.25) we have finally

$$\Omega_p^{red} = \int_V \lambda_p \left(\delta \Pi^{(1)}_{A_2 \dots A_p} \wedge \delta Q^{A_2 \dots A_p}_{(1)} + (-1)^{p+1} \delta \Pi^{(2)}_{A_2 \dots A_p} \wedge \delta Q^{A_2 \dots A_p}_{(2)} \right) \ . \tag{4.26}$$

Let us use more compact notation

$$\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y} := X^{A_2 \dots A_p} Y_{A_2 \dots A_p} \ . \tag{4.27}$$

Our result may be formulated as

Theorem 1 *The reduced symplectic structure* Ω_p^{red} *has on the reduced phase space* $\mathcal{P}_p^{red} := (\mathbf{Q}_{(\alpha)}, \mathbf{\Pi}^{(\alpha)})$ *the following form:*

$$\Omega_p^{red} = \int_V \lambda_p \left(\delta \mathbf{\Pi}^{(1)} \cdot \wedge \delta \mathbf{Q}_{(1)} + (-1)^{p+1} \delta \mathbf{\Pi}^{(2)} \cdot \wedge \delta \mathbf{Q}_{(2)} \right) \ . \tag{4.28}$$

The above theorem obviously implies

Theorem 2 *The corresponding reduced Poisson bracket (3.9) reads:*

$$\{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}\}_p^{red} = \int_V \lambda_p \left[\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{Q}_{(1)}} \cdot \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta \mathbf{\Pi}^{(1)}} + (-1)^{p+1} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{Q}_{(2)}} \cdot \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta \mathbf{\Pi}^{(2)}} - (\mathcal{F} \rightleftharpoons \mathcal{G}) \right] \ . \tag{4.29}$$

This formula leads to the following commutation relations:

$$\{Q^{A_2 \dots A_p}_{(1)}(\mathbf{x}), \Pi^{(1)}_{B_2 \dots B_p}(\mathbf{y})\}_p^{red} = \delta^{A_2 \dots A_p}_{[B_2 \dots B_p]} \ \delta^{(2p+1)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \ , \tag{4.30}$$

$$\{Q^{A_2 \dots A_p}_{(2)}(\mathbf{x}), \Pi^{(2)}_{B_2 \dots B_p}(\mathbf{y})\}_p^{red} = (-1)^{p+1} \delta^{A_2 \dots A_p}_{[B_2 \dots B_p]} \ \delta^{(2p+1)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \ , \tag{4.31}$$

and the remaining brackets vanish.

4.3 Reconstruction

Now, could we reconstruct D and B in terms of $\mathbf{Q}$'s and $\mathbf{\Pi}$'s? The answer is positive by virtue of the following reconstruction

Theorem 3 *The variables* $(\mathbf{Q}_{(\alpha)}, \mathbf{\Pi}^{(\alpha)};\ \alpha = 1, 2)$ *contain the entire gauge-invariant information about the fields* $D^{i_1 \dots i_p}$ *and* $B^{i_1 \dots i_p}$*.*

Proof: we show that $\mathbf{Q}_{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{\Pi}^{(2)}$ encode the information about D field. Due to (4.14) it is enough to show how to reconstruct the tangential part of D, i.e. $D^{A_1 \dots A_p}$. Let us make the following decomposition (see Appendix A):

$$D^{A_1 \dots A_p} = \mathcal{U}^{[A_2 \dots A_p || A_1]} + \epsilon^{A_1 \dots A_p B_1 \dots B_p} \mathcal{V}_{B_2 \dots B_p || B_1} \ . \tag{4.32}$$

Lemma 3 *The following identities hold:*

$$r^2 D^{A_1 \dots A_p}{}_{||A_1} = \triangle_{p-1} \mathcal{U}^{A_2 \dots A_p} \ , \tag{4.33}$$

$$r^2 \epsilon_{A_1 \dots A_p B_1 \dots B_p} \ D^{A_1 \dots A_p || B_1} = \triangle_{p-1} \mathcal{V}_{B_2 \dots B_p} \ . \tag{4.34}$$

For proof see Appendix B. Therefore

$$\mathcal{V}_{B_2\ldots B_p} = -p!\, r\, \Pi^{(2)}_{B_2\ldots B_p} \ . \tag{4.35}$$

Now, to reconstruct $\mathcal{U}^{A_2\ldots A_p}$ let us use (2.13).

Lemma 4 *The Gauss constraints* $D^{kA_2\ldots A_p}{}_{|k}$ *are equivalent to*

$$\partial_r(r^{2p}\, D^{rA_2\ldots A_p}) + r^{2p} D^{A_1A_2\ldots A_p}{}_{||A_1} = 0 \ . \tag{4.36}$$

The proof see Appendix B. Finally, due to (4.36)

$$\mathcal{U}^{A_2\ldots A_p} = -r^2 \triangle^{-1}_{p-1}\, \partial_r \left(r^{2p-1}\, Q^{A_2\ldots A_p}_{(1)} \right) \ . \tag{4.37}$$

In the same way one shows that $\mathbf{Q}_{(2)}$ and $\mathbf{\Pi}^{(1)}$ reconstruct B field. □

4.4 Counting degrees of freedom

Let us count the total number N_p of degrees of freedom for a p-form theory. Define a number n^p_k by:

$$n^p_k := \left(\begin{array}{c} 2p+1 \\ k \end{array} \right) \ . \tag{4.38}$$

A p-form potential $A_{i_1\ldots i_p}$ on Σ has n^p_p independent components. However, due to a gauge freedom

$$A_{i_1\ldots i_p} \rightarrow A_{i_1\ldots i_p} + \nabla_{[i_1}\Lambda^{(1)}_{i_2\ldots i_p]} \tag{4.39}$$

some of them may be gauged away e.g. to zero. $\Lambda^{(1)}_{i_1\ldots i_{p-1}}$ carries n^p_{p-1} components but the equation (4.39) is still invariant under

$$\Lambda^{(1)}_{i_1\ldots i_{p-1}} \rightarrow \Lambda^{(1)}_{i_1\ldots i_{p-1}} + \nabla_{[i_1}\Lambda^{(2)}_{i_2\ldots i_{p-1}]} \ . \tag{4.40}$$

Therefore, some of the components of $\Lambda^{(1)}$ may be gauged away *via* $\Lambda^{(2)}$ which has n^p_{p-2} components. The same argument applies for $\Lambda^{(2)}$ and one continues up to a 0-form Λ^p carrying obviously only one component. Therefore, the total number of degrees of freedom carried by $A_{i_1\ldots i_p}$ equals:

$$N_p = n^p_p - n^p_{p-1} + n^p_{p-2} - \ldots + (-1)^p n^p_0 \ . \tag{4.41}$$

Using the standard mathematical induction it is easy to prove that

$$N_p = \left(\begin{array}{c} 2p \\ p \end{array} \right) \ . \tag{4.42}$$

Now, note that $\mathbf{Q}_{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{(2)}$ carry together

$$M_p = 2 \left(\begin{array}{c} 2p \\ p-1 \end{array} \right) = \frac{2p}{p+1}\, N_p \ . \tag{4.43}$$

Therefore,

$$M_p \geq N_p \tag{4.44}$$

and the equality holds only for $p=1$. It means that for $p>1$, $\mathbf{Q}_{(\alpha)}$ are not independent.

Lemma 5 *For $p > 1$, reduced variables $\mathbf{Q}_{(\alpha)}$ and $\mathbf{\Pi}^{(\alpha)}$ satisfy the following constraints:*

$$\nabla_{A_2} Q^{A_2 \dots A_p}_{(\alpha)} = 0 \ , \qquad \nabla^{A_2} \Pi^{(\alpha)}_{A_2 \dots A_p} = 0 \ . \tag{4.45}$$

The proof follows obviously from (2.11) and (2.13). Note, that these constraints are trivially satisfied for $p = 1$.

5 Maxwell theory

The simplest p-form theory is of the Maxwell type, i.e. constitutive relations between inductions (D, B) and intensities (E, H) are linear:

$$D^{i_1 \dots i_p} = E^{i_1 \dots i_p} \ , \qquad B^{i_1 \dots i_p} = H^{i_1 \dots i_p} \ . \tag{5.1}$$

In such a case it is easy to rewrite field equations (2.10) and (2.12) in terms of $\mathbf{Q}$'s and $\mathbf{\Pi}$'s.

Theorem 4 *Equations (2.10) and (2.12) are equivalent to:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{Q}^{A_2 \dots A_p}_{(1)} &= -\triangle_{p-1}\, \Pi^{A_2 \dots A_p}_{(1)} \ , && (5.2)\\
\dot{Q}^{A_2 \dots A_p}_{(2)} &= (-1)^p \triangle_{p-1}\, \Pi^{A_2 \dots A_p}_{(2)} \ , && (5.3)\\
\dot{\Pi}^{(1)}_{A_2 \dots A_p} &= -\triangle^{-1}_{p-1} \left[r^{-1} \partial^2_r (r Q^{(1)}_{A_2 \dots A_p}) + r^{-2} \triangle_{p-1}\, Q^{(1)}_{A_2 \dots A_p} \right] \ , && (5.4)\\
\dot{\Pi}^{(2)}_{A_2 \dots A_p} &= (-1)^p \triangle^{-1}_{p-1} \left[r^{-1} \partial^2_r (r Q^{(2)}_{A_2 \dots A_p}) + r^{-2} \triangle_{p-1}\, Q^{(2)}_{A_2 \dots A_p} \right] \ , && (5.5)
\end{aligned}$$

where $Q^{(\alpha)}_{A_2 \dots A_p} := \eta_{A_2 B_2} \dots \eta_{A_p B_p} Q^{B_2 \dots B_p}_{(\alpha)}$ *and* $\Pi^{A_2 \dots A_p}_{(\alpha)} := \eta^{A_2 B_2} \dots \eta^{A_p B_p} \Pi^{(\alpha)}_{B_2 \dots B_p}$.

For proof see Appendix C. These equations may be derived from the following hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_p &= \frac{1}{2(p-1)!} \int \lambda_p \Big[r^{-2} \mathbf{Q}_{(\alpha)} \cdot \mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)} - r^{-2p} \partial_r (r^{2p-1} \mathbf{Q}_{(\alpha)}) \triangle^{-1}_{p-1} \cdot \partial_r (r \mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}) \\
&- \mathbf{\Pi}_{(\alpha)} \triangle_{p-1} \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}^{(\alpha)} \Big] \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{Q}}_{(\alpha)} &= \{ \mathbf{Q}_{(\alpha)}, H_p \}^{red}_p \ , && (5.7)\\
\dot{\mathbf{\Pi}}_{(\alpha)} &= \{ \mathbf{\Pi}_{(\alpha)}, H_p \}^{red}_p \ , && (5.8)
\end{aligned}$$

with the Poisson bracket defined in (4.29). One easily shows that the numerical value of H_p is equal to the standard (i.e. obtained *via* the symmetric energy-momentum tensor) electromagnetic energy contained in V, i.e.

$$H_p = \frac{1}{2p!} \int_V \lambda_p \left(D^{i_1 \dots i_p} D_{i_1 \dots i_p} + B^{i_1 \dots i_p} B_{i_1 \dots i_p} \right) \ . \tag{5.9}$$

Appendixes

A Geometry of $S^{2p}(r)$

The relation between cartesian $(y^1, y^2, ..., y^{2p+1})$ and spherical coordinates $(x^1, x^2, ..., x^{2p+1})$ introduced in (4.2)–(4.3) reads as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} y^1 &= r \sin\varphi_{2p} \sin\varphi_{2p-1} ... \sin\varphi_3 \sin\varphi_2 \sin\varphi_1 \ , \\ y^2 &= r \sin\varphi_{2p} \sin\varphi_{2p-1} ... \sin\varphi_3 \sin\varphi_2 \cos\varphi_1 \ , \\ y^3 &= r \sin\varphi_{2p} \sin\varphi_{2p-1} ... \sin\varphi_3 \cos\varphi_2 \ , \\ &\vdots \\ y^{2p} &= r \sin\varphi_{2p} \cos\varphi_{2p-1} \ , \\ y^{2p+1} &= r \cos\varphi_{2p} \ . \end{aligned}$$

The Minkowskian metric on Σ is diagonal:

$$\eta_{kk} = r^2 \prod_{l=k+1}^{2p} \sin^2\varphi_l \ , \qquad k = 1, 2, ..., 2p-1, \tag{A.1}$$

$$\eta_{2p,2p} = r^2 \ , \qquad \eta_{rr} = 1 \ . \tag{A.2}$$

Therefore, the volume element

$$\lambda_p = \sqrt{\det \eta_{kl}} = r^{2p} \prod_{A=1}^{2p} \sin^{A-1}\varphi_A \ . \tag{A.3}$$

One easily calculates the corresponding Christoffel symbols. The only nonvanishing symbols are:

$$\Gamma^A_{BC} \ , \quad \Gamma^A_{Br} = r^{-1}\delta^A_{\ B} \ , \quad \Gamma^r_{AB} = -r^{-1}\eta_{AB} \ . \tag{A.4}$$

Now, one easily finds the Riemann tensor on $S^{2p}(r)$:

$$R_{ABCD} = r^{-2}(\eta_{AC}\eta_{DB} - \eta_{AD}\eta_{CB}) \ , \tag{A.5}$$

where η_{AB} denotes the induced metric on each $S^{2p}(r)$. The corresponding Ricci tensor R_{AB} and a scalar curvature R read:

$$R_{AB} = \frac{2p-1}{r^2}\,\eta_{AB} \ , \qquad R = \frac{2p(2p-1)}{r^2} \ . \tag{A.6}$$

Finally, let $\epsilon_{A_1...A_{2p}}$ denote the Lévi-Civita tensor density on $S^{2p}(r)$ such that

$$\epsilon_{12...2p} = \lambda_p \ .$$

Let $X = X_{A_1...A_p}$ denote any p-form field on $S^{2p}(r)$. This field may be decomposed as follows:

$$X_{A_1...A_p} = \nabla_{[A_1}\alpha_{A_2...A_p]} + \epsilon_{A_1...A_pB_1...B_p}\nabla^{B_1}\beta^{B_2...B_p} \ , \tag{A.7}$$

Formula (A.7) follows from the Hodge theorem

$$X = d\alpha + d^*\beta' + h \ , \tag{A.8}$$

with α being a $(p-1)$-form, β' a $(p+1)$-form and h a harmonic p-form. Note, that there is no harmonic term in (A.7) because the set of harmonic p-forms on $S^{2p}(r)$ is empty. Obviously, $\beta' = \lambda * \beta$ for some constant λ. To find λ note, that for even dimensional Riemannian manifolds the adjoint d^* of d reads:

$$d^* = - * d* \tag{A.9}$$

and,therefore, $d^*\beta' = -\lambda * d * *\beta$. Now, on a Riemannian n-dimensional manifold

$$* * \omega_k = (-1)^{k(n-k)}\omega_k \ , \tag{A.10}$$

and hence for $n = 2p$, $* * \beta = (-1)^{p+1}\beta$. Therefore, $d^*\beta' = (-1)^p\lambda * d\beta$ which implies $\lambda = (-1)^p$.

B Proofs

B.1 Proof of Lemma 1

Consider any $(p-1)$-form $X = (X_{A_2\dots A_p})$ on $S^{2p}(r)$ such that $\nabla^{A_2}X_{A_2\dots A_p} = 0$. This condition may be rewritten as

$$d^*X = 0 \ . \tag{B.1}$$

Therefore, the Laplacian on X reduces to

$$(d^*d + dd^*)X = d^*d\,X \ . \tag{B.2}$$

Due to (A.9), $d^*d\,X$ may be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(*d * dX)_{A_2\dots A_p} &= \frac{1}{(p+1)!}\epsilon_{A_2\dots A_pAB_1\dots B_p}\nabla^{[A}(*dX)^{B_1\dots B_p]} \\
&= \frac{1}{(p+1)!}\epsilon_{A_2\dots A_pAB_1\dots B_p}\nabla^{[A}\frac{1}{p!}\epsilon^{B_1\dots B_p]C_1\dots C_p}\nabla_{[C_1}X_{C_2\dots C_p]} \\
&= \frac{p!}{p!(p+1)!}\epsilon_{A_2\dots A_pAB_1\dots B_p}\Big(\nabla^A\epsilon^{B_1\dots B_pC_1\dots C_p} - \nabla^{B_1}\epsilon^{AB_2\dots B_pC_1\dots C_p} \\
&\quad -\dots - \nabla^{B_p}\epsilon^{B_1\dots B_{p-1}AC_1\dots C_p}\Big)\nabla_{[C_1}X_{C_2\dots C_p]} \\
&= \frac{1}{(p+1)!}\epsilon_{A_2\dots A_pAB_1\dots B_p}\Big(\epsilon^{B_1\dots B_pC_1\dots C_p}\nabla^A - p\,\epsilon^{AB_2\dots B_pC_1\dots C_p}\nabla^{B_1}\Big) \\
&\quad \cdot\nabla_{[C_1}X_{C_2\dots C_p]} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^p p!}{(p+1)!}\Big(\delta^{\,C_1\ \dots\ C_p}_{[A_2\dots A_pA]}\,\nabla^A + p\delta^{\,C_1\ \dots\ C_p}_{[A_2\dots A_pB_1]}\,\nabla^{B_1}\Big)\nabla_{[C_1}X_{C_2\dots C_p]} \\
&= -\delta^{C_1C_2\dots C_p}_{[AA_2\dots A_p]}\,\nabla^A\nabla_{[C_1}X_{C_2\dots C_p]} \\
&= (p-1)!\Big(-\nabla^A\nabla_A\,X_{A_2\dots A_p} + (-1)^p\nabla^A\nabla_{[A_2}X_{A_3\dots A_p]A}\Big) \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

To calculate the second term note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^A\nabla_{[A_2}X_{A_3\dots A_p]A} &= \nabla^A\Big(\nabla_{A_2}X_{A_3\dots A_pA} - \nabla_{A_3}X_{A_2A_4\dots A_pA} - \\
&- \dots - \nabla_{A_p}X_{A_2\dots A_{p-1}A_2A}\Big) \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

Now, we use the following well known property of the Riemann tensor

$$[\nabla_A, \nabla_B] T^{C_1 \dots C_k} = R^{C_1}{}_{DAB} T^{D C_2 \dots C_k} + \dots + R^{C_k}{}_{DAB} T^{C_2 \dots C_{k-1} D} \ , \tag{B.5}$$

which holds for any tensor field $T^{C_1 \dots C_k}$. Using (B.5) one has:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_A \nabla^{A_2} X^{A_3 \dots A_p A} &= \eta^{A_2 B} [\nabla_A, \nabla_B] X^{A_3 \dots A_p A} = \eta^{A_2 B} \Big(R^{A_3}{}_{CAB} X^{C A_4 \dots A_p A} \\ &\quad + \dots + R^{A_p}{}_{CAB} X^{A_3 \dots A_{p-1} C A} + R^{A}{}_{CAB} X^{A_3 \dots A_p C} \Big) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

Using (A.5) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{A_2 B} R^{A_3}{}_{CAB} X^{C A_4 \dots A_p A} &= r^{-2} \eta^{A_2 B} \eta^{A_3 D} (\eta_{DA} \eta_{CB} - \eta_{DB} \eta_{CA}) X^{C A_4 \dots A_p A} \\ &= r^{-2} X^{A_2 A_4 \dots A_p A_3} = r^{-2} (-1)^{p+1} X^{A_2 \dots A_p} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

Exactly the same result holds for the first $(p-2)$ terms in (B.6). The last term

$$\eta^{A_2 B} R^{A}{}_{CAB} X^{A_3 \dots A_p C} = \eta^{A_2 B} R_{CB} X^{A_3 \dots A_p C} = r^{-2} (-1)^p (2p-1) X^{A_2 \dots A_p} \ , \tag{B.8}$$

due to (A.6). Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} (- * d * dX)_{A_2 \dots A_p} &= (p-1)! \left[\nabla^A \nabla_A - r^{-2} (p^2 - 1) \right] X_{A_2 \dots A_p} \\ &= r^{-2} \triangle_{p-1} X_{A_2 \dots A_p} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.9}$$

□

B.2 Proof of Lemma 2

It is a well known fact from the theory of cohomology that on $S^n(r)$ the kernel of $\triangle_k$ is nontrivial only for $k = 0$ and $k = n$. The $p = 1$ case (i.e. an ordinary electrodynamics in $\mathcal{M}^4$) is special because $\triangle_0 = r^2 \nabla^A \nabla_A$ possesses zero modes which are simply constant functions on $S^2(r)$. This problem was treated in [12].

□

B.3 Proof of Lemma 3

Let $X = (X_{A_1 \dots A_p})$ be a p-form on $S^{2p}(r)$. Due to the Hodge theorem (A.8) it may be decomposed as follows:

$$X_{A_1 \dots A_p} = \nabla_{[A_1} \alpha_{A_2 \dots A_p]} + \epsilon_{A_1 \dots A_p B_1 \dots B_p} \nabla^{B_1} \beta^{B_2 \dots B_p} \ , \tag{B.10}$$

i.e. α and β are $(p-1)$-forms on $S^{2p}(r)$:

$$X = d\alpha + *d\beta \ . \tag{B.11}$$

Let us choose a following "gauge condition" for α and β:

$$d^* \alpha = d^* \beta = 0 \ . \tag{B.12}$$

Now, taking into account (B.11) and Lemma 1 one obtains

$$d^*X = d^*d\alpha = r^{-2}\triangle_{p-1}\alpha \tag{B.13}$$

and

$$* \, dX = *d * d\beta = -d^*d\beta = -\triangle_{p-1}\beta \; . \tag{B.14}$$

Rewriting (B.13) and (B.14) in components on obtains:

$$r^2 X^{A_1A_2\ldots A_p}{}_{||A_1} = \triangle_{p-1}\alpha^{A_2\ldots A_p} \; , \tag{B.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle_{p-1}\beta^{B_2\ldots B_p} &= -r^2(*dX)^{B_2\ldots B_p} = \frac{-1}{(p+1)!}\epsilon^{B_2\ldots B_pB_1A_1\ldots A_p}\nabla_{[B_1}X_{A_1\ldots A_p]} \\ &= \epsilon^{A_1\ldots A_pB_1\ldots B_p}\nabla_{B_1}X_{A_1\ldots A_p} \; . \end{aligned} \tag{B.16}$$

□

B.4 Proof of Lemma 4

$$D^{ki_2\ldots i_p}{}_{|k} = \partial_k D^{ki_2\ldots i_p} + \Gamma^k_{kj}D^{ji_2\ldots i_p} + (p-1)\,\Gamma^{i_2}_{kj}D^{kji_3\ldots i_p} \; , \tag{B.17}$$

where the last term obviously vanishes. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D^{kA_2\ldots A_p}{}_{|k} &= \partial_r D^{rA_2\ldots A_p} + \partial_B D^{BA_2\ldots A_p} \\ &+ \Gamma^r_{rr}D^{rA_2\ldots A_p} + \Gamma^r_{rB}D^{Bi_2\ldots i_p} + \Gamma^B_{Br}D^{rA_2\ldots A_p} + \Gamma^B_{BC}D^{CA_2\ldots A_p} \; . \end{aligned} \tag{B.18}$$

Now, taking into account (A.4)

$$\begin{aligned} D^{kA_2\ldots A_p}{}_{|k} &= \left(\partial_r D^{rA_2\ldots A_p} + \frac{2p}{r}D^{rA_2\ldots A_p}\right) + \left(\partial_B D^{BA_2\ldots A_p} + \Gamma^B_{BC}D^{CA_2\ldots A_p}\right) \\ &= r^{-2p}\,\partial_r(r^{2p}\,D^{rA_2\ldots A_p}) + D^{A_1A_2\ldots A_p}{}_{||A_1} \; . \end{aligned} \tag{B.19}$$

□

C Derivation of Maxwell equations

Using (2.12) one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{Q}^{A_2\ldots A_p}_{(1)} &= r\dot{D}^{rA_2\ldots A_p} = \frac{r}{p!}\epsilon^{rA_2\ldots A_pA_1B_1\ldots B_p}B_{B_1\ldots B_p||A_1} \\ &= -\frac{r}{p!}\epsilon^{B_1\ldots B_pA_1\ldots A_p}B_{B_1\ldots B_p||A_1} = -\triangle^{-1}_{p-1}\Pi^{A_2\ldots A_p}_{(1)} \; . \end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

In the same way one proves (5.3) using (2.10). Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot\Pi^{(1)}_{B_2\dots B_p} &= \frac{r}{p!}\triangle^{-1}_{p-1}\left(\epsilon_{A_1\dots A_pB_1\dots B_p}\dot B^{A_1\dots A_p||B_1}\right)\\
&= \frac{r}{p!}\triangle^{-1}_{p-1}\epsilon_{A_1\dots A_pB_1\dots B_p}\nabla^{B_1}\left((-1)^p\frac{1}{p!}\epsilon^{A_1\dots A_pkj_1\dots j_p}\nabla_k D_{j_1\dots j_p}\right)\\
&= (-1)^p\frac{r}{(p!)^2}\triangle^{-1}_{p-1}\epsilon_{A_1\dots A_pB_1\dots B_p}\nabla^{B_1}\left(\epsilon^{A_1\dots A_prC_1\dots C_p}\partial_r D_{C_1\dots C_p}\right.\\
&\quad \left. + p\epsilon^{A_1\dots A_pC_1rC_2\dots C_p}\nabla_{C_1}D_{rC_2\dots C_p}\right)\\
&= (-1)^p\frac{r}{(p!)^2}\triangle^{-1}_{p-1}\delta^{C_1\dots C_p}_{[B_1\dots B_p]}\nabla^{B_1}\left((-1)^pp!\partial_r D_{C_1\dots C_p} + (-1)^{p+1}pp!\nabla_{C_1}D_{rC_2\dots C_p}\right)\\
&= \frac{r}{p!}\triangle^{-1}_{p-1}\nabla^{B_1}\left[p!\partial_rD_{B_1\dots B_p} + p(p-1)!\left(\nabla_{B_1}D_{rB_2\dots B_p} - \nabla_{B_2}D_{rB_1B_3\dots B_p}\right.\right.\\
&\quad\left.\left. - \nabla_{B_p}D_{rB_2\dots B_{p-1}B_1}\right)\right]\\
&= r\triangle^{-1}_{p-1}\nabla^{B_1}\left[\partial_rD_{B_1\dots B_p} - (-1)^p\nabla_{[B_2}D^r{}_{B_3\dots B_p]B_1}\right]\\
&= r\triangle^{-1}_{p-1}\left[\partial_r\nabla^{B_1}D_{B_1\dots B_p} - r^{-2}\triangle_{p-1}D_{rB_2\dots B_p}\right]\ . \qquad (C.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for any tensor field $X_{A\dots}{}^{\cdots}$ one has:

$$r^2\nabla^A\left(\partial_r X_{A\dots}{}^{\cdots}\right) = \partial_r\left(r^2\nabla^A X_{A\dots}{}^{\cdots}\right)\ . \tag{C.3}$$

To prove (C.3) note, that

$$[\partial_r, \nabla_B] = 0\ ,$$

and, therefore

$$\left(\partial_r X_{A\dots}{}^{\cdots}\right)_{||B}\eta^{AB} = \partial_r\left(\nabla_B X_{A\dots}{}^{\cdots}\right)\eta^{AB} = \partial_r\left(\eta^{AB}\nabla_B X_{A\dots}{}^{\cdots}\right) - \partial_r\left(\eta^{AB}\right)\nabla_B X_{A\dots}{}^{\cdots}\ . \tag{C.4}$$

But

$$\partial_r\eta^{AB} = -\frac{2}{r}\eta^{AB}\ ,$$

and the formula (C.3) follows. Using (C.3) one obtains

$$\dot\Pi^{(1)}_{B_2\dots B_p} = r\triangle^{-1}_{p-1}\left[r^{-2}\partial_r\left(r^2\nabla^{B_1}D_{B_1\dots B_p}\right) - r^{-2}\triangle_{p-1}D_{rB_2\dots B_p}\right]\ . \tag{C.5}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_r\left(r^2 D_{B_1\dots B_p}{}^{||B_1}\right) &= \partial_r\left(r^2\eta_{B_2C_2}\dots\eta_{B_pC_p}D^{C_1\dots C_p}{}_{||C_1}\right)\\
&= r^{-2p+2}\eta_{B_2C_2}\dots\eta_{B_pC_p}\partial_r\left(r^{2p}D^{C_1\dots C_p}{}_{||C_1}\right)\\
&= -r^{-2p+2}\eta_{B_2C_2}\dots\eta_{B_pC_p}\partial^2_r\left(r^{2p}D^{rC_2\dots C_p}\right)\ , \qquad (C.6)
\end{aligned}$$

due to Lemma 4. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot\Pi^{(1)}_{B_2\dots B_p} &= -\eta_{B_2C_2}\dots\eta_{B_pC_p}\triangle^{-1}_{p-1}\left[r^{-2p+1}\partial^2_r\left(r^{2p-1}Q^{C_2\dots C_p}_{(1)}\right) + r^{-2}\triangle_{p-1}Q^{C_2\dots C_p}_{(1)}\right]\\
&= -\triangle^{-1}_{p-1}\left[r^{-1}\partial^2_r\left(rQ^{(1)}_{B_2\dots B_p}\right) + r^{-2}\triangle_{p-1}Q^{(1)}_{B_2\dots B_p}\right]\ . \qquad (C.7)
\end{aligned}$$

The same arguments apply for $\dot\Pi^{(2)}$. □

References

[1] C. Teitelboim: *Phys. Lett.* **B 167** (1986) 63, 69.

[2] M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim: *Foundations of Physics* **16** (1986) 593.

[3] J. Polchinski: *TASI Lectures on D-branes*, hep-th/9611050.

[4] D. Olive: *Nucl. Phys.* (Proc. Suppl.) **B 58** (1997) 43.

[5] S. Deser, A. Gomberoff, M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim: *Phys. Lett.* **B 400** (1997) 80.

[6] G. W. Gibbons, D. A. Rasheed: *Nucl. Phys.* **B 454** (1995) 18.

[7] P. A. M. Dirac: *Proc. Roy. Soc.* **A 133** (1931) 60; *Phys. Rev.* **74** (1948) 757.

[8] E. Cremer, B. Julia, H. Lü and C. N. Pope: *Nucl. Phys.* **B 523** (1998) 73.

[9] M. S. Bremer, H. Lü, C. N. Pope and K. S. Stelle: *Nucl. Phys.* **B 529** (1998) 259.

[10] S. Deser, A. Gomberoff, M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim: *Nucl. Phys.* **B 520** (1998) 179.

[11] S. Deser, M. Henneaux and A. Schwimmer: *Phys. Lett.* **B 428** (1998) 284.

[12] J. Jezierski and J. Kijowski: *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **22** (1990) 1284.

[13] J. Kijowski and D. Chruściński: *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **27** (1995) 267.

[14] D. Chruściński: *Rep. Math. Phys.* **41** (1998) 13.

[15] J. H. Schwarz and A. Sen: *Nucl. Phys.* **B 411** (1994) 35.